# 1AC – New Trier

**Contention one is the crisis**

**Cuba is a pawn in the overall strategy of historical threat construction – ever since 1960, Cuba has been an essential tool in the project of the national security complex, with the discourse surrounding it representative of an orientation drawn to the brink of nuclear war**

**Ružić 12** (Maja Ružić, prof of International Security Studies, researcher at Central European University, research assistance at Slovak Foreign Policy Association, “Securitization Outside the Liberal Political Context: Did Cuba Matter in the Cuban Missile Crisis?”, СИНТЕЗИС IV/1 (2012), КРИЗА, Evan)

The Cuban Missile Crisis, or the Caribbean Crisis, or the October Crisis,

AND

securitization theory in political settings that are known for not being very transparent.

**The embargo is a form of economic terrorism, but is concealed by an ethically bankrupt form of consequentialism that excuses any violence in the name of national security**

**Kauzlarich, 01** (David Kauzlarich, prof @ Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville, and Rick A. Matthews, prof @ Ohio University, and William J. Miller, prof @ Carthage College, “TOWARD A VICTIMOLOGY OF STATE CRIME”, Critical Criminology, Volume 10, Issue 3, pp. 183-186, Evan)

Propositions about the victimology of state crime can be developed from this review to help

AND

of citizens because they have not waged successful civil insurrections against their oppressors.

**This is enforced through an arbitrary interpretation of “dual use” – the US blocks access to fundamental goods and services through a destructive lens of *risk analysis* that treats critical supplies as military, magnifying humanitarian damage**

**Gordon 06** (Joy Gordon, Professor of Political Philosophy at Fairfield University, Ph.D. in philosophy from Yale University and law degree from Boston, “Accountability and Global Governance: The Case of Iraq,” Ethics and International Affairs, Vol. 20, No. 1, Spring 2006, Evan)

There were two critical reasons for this state of affairs. One was the United

AND

these policies was massive, entirely foreseeable, and ongoing for several years.

**This kind of political discourse requires us to make the world match the map – that leads to an endless war of extermination against the liminars**

**Friis 2k** (Karsten, UN Sector at the Norwegian Institute of International Affairs, “From Liminars to Others: Securitization Through Myths,”)

The problem with societal securitization is one of representation. It is rarely clear in

AND

on behalf of the natural and eternal, where truth is never questioned.

**In terms of the embargo, this takes the form of an American genocide on Cuban citizens**

**Simons, 99** (Geoff, freelance author, “Imposing Economic Sanctions”, Pluto Press, 12-13, chip)

The years-long US attempt to deny Cuba access to food, medicine and

AND

the Articles of the Geneva Convention governing the treatment of civilians in wartime.

**This genocidal logic of disposability underlies all security impacts and makes extinction inevitable**

**Santos 03** (Boaventura de Sousa Santos, Professor of Sociology at the School of Economics, University of Coimbra (Portugal) and Distinguished Scholar at the University of Wisconsin-Madison Law School. "Collective Suicide?" March 28, 2003 online http://www.ces.fe.uc.pt/opiniao/bss/072en.php)

According to Franz Hinkelammert, the West has repeatedly been under the illusion that it

AND

machine of democracy and liberty turns into a machine of horror and destruction.

**This enframing of security justifies limitless violence and turns all impacts in the frantic drive for order – discourses confined within the dominant rationalist narrative guarantee serial policy failure – questioning is key**

**Burke 7** – Associate Professor of Politics and International Relations in the University of New South Wales (Anthony, Theory & Event, Volume 10, Issue 2, 2007, “Ontologies of War: Violence, Existence and Reason,” Project MUSE)

This essay develops a theory about the causes of war -- and thus aims to

AND

to end the global rule of insecurity and violence? Will our thought?

**This political calculation also underlies the Castro regime’s decisions – acts of violence against the Cuban populace were justified under a pretext of existential threats – only the aff breaks down security logic which allows reform**

**Ružić 12** (Maja Ružić, prof of International Security Studies, researcher at Central European University, research assistance at Slovak Foreign Policy Association, “Securitization Outside the Liberal Political Context: Did Cuba Matter in the Cuban Missile Crisis?”, СИНТЕЗИС IV/1 (2012), КРИЗА, Evan)

The key for understanding the Cuban perspective on the October crisis is the position and

AND

. Unexpectedly, the Cubans accepted this extraordinary offer within two days.45

**This will only continue in the status quo – a federal removal of our illegal sanctions against Cuba is necessary**

**Manchak 10** (Benjamin Manchak, Staff Writer, Boston College Third World Law Journal, “COMPREHENSIVE ECONOMIC SANCTIONS, THE RIGHT TO DEVELOPMENT, AND CONSTITUTIONALLY IMPERMISSIBLE VIOLATIONS OF INTERNATIONAL LAW”, 30 B.C. Third World L.J. 417, 2010, LexisNexis, Evan)

Since the 1990s and the experience with the 661 regime in Iraq, a profusion

AND

for it to set an example with respect to human rights obligations." n11

**Jacob and I advocate that the United States federal government should remove its economic sanctions towards Cuba.**

**Contention two is spaces**

**Our critical rejection of security discourse opens up a space in politics that allow new modes of political thought which have real transformative potential – that’s only possible by a radical separation from status quo discourse**

**Neocleous 8** (Mark, Prof. of Government @ Brunel, “Critique of Security”, 185-6 //Rufus)

The only way out of such a dilemma, to escape the fetish, is

AND

; it requires us to be brave enough to return the gift."'

**The 1ac demonstrates our individual ethical responsibilities to the other necessary to prevent politics from degenerating into nihilism – our ethical standpoint is necessary to halt ongoing violence against the world and is a prerequisite to “true” politics**

**Kappeler 95** (Susanne Kappeler, Associate Professor at the School of Humanities and Social Sciences, Al Akhawayn University, The Will to Violence: The Politics of Personal Behavior, ISBN 0-8077-6281-4, pp. 10-11 //eR)

'We are the war' does not mean that the responsibility for a war is shared

AND

our values' according to the structures and the values of war and violence.

**Vulnerability and insecurity are inevitable- from the moment of our birth, we are inextricably linked to the Other, laid bare to violence- the only question is how we engage in grief- the affirmative begins the process of grieving for the lives on the other end of our violence, reclaiming a view of life that is not grounded upon extermination**

**Butler 4** (Judith, Professor of Rhetoric and Comparitive Literature @ the University of California, Berkley, “Precarious Life: The Powers of Mourning and Violence”, pg 28-32 //Rufus)

Mourning, fear, anxiety, rage. In the United States, we have

AND

such fast and furious support and will not even qualify as "grievable."

**Don't evaluate their process counterplans --- Their focus on perfecting governmental structures as a means rather than actually helping others results in interpassivity, indifference and political withdrawal**

**Van Oenen 2006** (Gijs, A Machine That Would Go of Itself: Interpassivity and Its Impact on Politic al Life, Theory & Event, 9:2)

This metaphor signifies of course that the hierarchical relation between government and citizens is being

AND

process now mainly realizes, its main 'product', is involvement with itself.

**Sole focus on survival destroys value to life and is used to justify the worst atrocities**

**Callahan, 73** (Daniel, Fellow at the Institute of Society and Ethics, The Tyranny of Survival, pp. 91-93)

The value of survival could not be so readily abused were it not for its

AND

survival. It would be the Pyrrhic victory to end all Pyrrhic victories.

**If you focus on minute probabilities, all actions can have catastrophic consequences – absolute risk avoidance would stifle all assessment – even if we cannot draw a perfect line, we should still act on probabilities**

**Hansson, 2006** (Sven Ove, professor in philosophy Royal Institute of Technology, May 23, 2006, “The Epistemology of Technological Risk”; http://scholar.lib.vt.edu/ejournals/SPT/v9n2/hansson.html#bondi

However, it would not be feasible to take such possibilities into account in all

AND

our knowledge about their resilience towards various disturbances has not been sufficiently systematized.